

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/693,111	10/24/2003	Donald E. Toht	3165 P 067	1644
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Peter M. Klobuchar, Esq.			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M	
WALLENSTEIN, WAGNER, and ROCKEY, LTD 53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
311 South Wacker Drive			3712	
Chicago, IL 60606-6630			DATE MAII ED. 09/25/200	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/693,111	TOHT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Urszula M Cegielnik	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the specified by the second for reply will, by some same provided by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 2004</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 11</u> is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/693,111

Art Unit: 3712

### **ODETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman et al. in view of West et al.

Norman et al. disclose a poseable doll having articulated limbs measuring less than 8 centimeters (*which is between 3 inches and eight inches*, col. 1, lines 63-67). The doll has garments made of polymeric material (col. 1, lines 28-45) that may be removeably donned on the doll (col. 1, lines 25-27); that article may be made from rubber (col. 1, lines 25-27); the article as a low stiction interaction with the doll and the flexibility and form of the article allow uninhibited movement of the moveable joints of the doll (col. 2, lines 36-46). The reference further teaches that one garment can be donned over another, e.g, a jacket over a dress (col. 2, lines 45-46).

Norman et al. do not disclose the polymeric material having a 100% modulus of greater than 220psi (1517k Nm<sup>-2</sup>); the 200% modulus being above 330psi (2275 kNm<sup>-2</sup>), and the 300% modulus above 440psi (3034 kNm<sup>-2</sup>).

Application/Control Number: 10/693,111

Art Unit: 3712

West et al. teaches sheeting material in the form of chlorinated olefin having a 100% modulus greater than 220 psi (col. 5, lines 65-67).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the polymeric material having a 100% modulus greater than 220 psi, since West et al. state in the Abstract that such a modification would provide high tensile strength and unexpectedly low stiffness to the material.

Furthermore, it would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a polymeric material having the abovementioned claimed values of a 200% modulus being above 330 psi, and a 300% modulus being above 440 psi, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)* 

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman et al. in view of Shannon.

Norman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the doll being formed of polypropylene.

Shannon teaches a doll formed of polypropylene.(col. 4, lines 55-57).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the doll formed of polypropylene as taught by Shannon, since Shannon states col. 2, lines 57-59, that such a modification would permit surface details to be printed.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,111

Art Unit: 3712

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 703-306-5806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 5:30AM - 2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for both regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service at 703-306-5648.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3712

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700